

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 RYAN KENNETH RANDALL,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 CITY OF LAS VEGAS,

7 Defendant

Case No.: 2:22-cv-00807-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Report and  
Recommendation**

[ECF No. 8]

8 On June 29, 2022, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended that I dismiss this case without  
9 prejudice because plaintiff Ryan Randall did not pay the filing fee or file a renewed application  
10 for leave to proceed in forma pauperis by June 22, 2022 as ordered. ECF No. 8. Randall did not  
11 object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation.  
12 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those  
13 portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States*  
14 *v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review  
15 the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not  
16 otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppe’s report and recommendation  
18 **(ECF No. 8) is accepted, and this case is dismissed without prejudice.** The clerk of court is  
19 instructed to close this case.

20 DATED this 19th day of July, 2022.

21 

22 ANDREW P. GORDON  
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE